Interpretation of Table: JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '04 versus 2nd Quarter '05

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 62 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

- 1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,297) and "total decrease" in ADP (-522). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,297 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 522 ADP. Subtracting 522 from 2,297 produces the overall increase of 1,775 between the second quarter of 2004 and the second quarter of 2005.
- 2. The Los Angeles County ADP increase of 406 is 17.7% of the total increase of 2,297. Forty jurisdictions had increases (down to Mendocino Sheriff's Department) with 2 jurisdictions reporting no increases (Calaveras Sheriff's Department and Mono Sheriff's Departments). When you get to Mono Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,297).
- 3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Sierra Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 522 inmates.
- 4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to San Bernardino Sheriff's Departments) is 53.8%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 53% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (San Diego, San Mateo, and Alameda Sheriff's Departments) account for about 61% of the decreases with Alameda Sheriff's Department accounting for 30.8% of the total decrease.